arXiv:0706.0725v1 [math.AC] 5 Jun 2007

FACTORIZATION OF QUADRATIC POLYNOMIALS IN THE RING OF FORMAL POWER SERIES OVER $\mathbb{Z}$

DANIEL BIRMAJER, JUAN B. GIL, AND MICHAEL D. WEINER

Abstract. We establish necessary and sufficient conditions for a quadratic polynomial to be irreducible in the ring $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ of formal power series with integer coefficients. For $n, m \geq 1$ and p prime, we show that $p^n + p^m\beta x + \alpha x^2$ is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ if and only if it is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$, the ring of polynomials over the p-adic integers.

1. Introduction

If K is a field, the question of whether or not a quadratic polynomial is reducible in the polynomial ring $K[x]$ is well understood: A polynomial $f(x) = c + bx + ax^2$, with $a \neq 0$, can be written as a product of two linear factors in $K[x]$ if and only if its discriminant $b^2 - 4ac$ is a square in K. More generally, if D is a unique factorization domain, a primitive quadratic polynomial in $D[x]$ is reducible if and only its discriminant is a square in D.

If we consider the polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ as elements of $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$, the ring of formal power series over $\mathbb{Z}$, the factorization theory has a different flavor. A power series over an integral domain D is a unit in $D[[x]]$ if and only if its constant term is a unit in D, so irreducible elements in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, such as $1 + x$, are invertible as power series. On the other hand, any power series whose constant term is not a unit or a prime power, is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$, hence we can produce many examples of polynomials that are reducible as power series, yet irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$.

Similarly, when considering polynomials with integer coefficients as elements of $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ and as polynomials over $\mathbb{Z}_p$, the ring of p-adic integers, we also observe different behaviors in their arithmetic properties. For instance, the polynomial $p^2 + x + x^2$, which is irreducible as a power series, is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$ for any prime p. On the other hand, $6 + 2x + x^2$ is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ and in $\mathbb{Z}_3[x]$, but it is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]$ and $\mathbb{Z}_5[x]$.

In this paper, we discuss the factorization theory of quadratic polynomials in the ring of formal power series over $\mathbb{Z}$. Based on the above examples, it is natural to ask whether the question of reducibility of polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ can be reduced, at least in some cases, to the reducibility in $D[x]$ for some integral domain D. The implication of such a reduction in the quadratic case is clear: a reducibility criterion that relies on the discriminant being a square in D. In this direction, we found the following connection between $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ and $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$:

Main Theorem. *Let p be prime. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $\gcd(p, \alpha) = 1$ and $\gcd(p, \beta) = 1$. Let $f(x) = p^n + p^m\beta x + \alpha x^2$ with $n, m \geq 1$. Then $f(x)$ is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ if and only if it is reducible as a polynomial over $\mathbb{Z}_p$.*

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13F25;11Y05,13P05.

We present the proof of this theorem in Sections 3 and 4. Since the conditions for being a square in $\mathbb{Z}_p$ are well known, our approach provides an effective procedure for deciding whether or not a quadratic polynomial is reducible as a power series and, in the affirmative case, our proofs give an algorithmic method (whose foundations are based on the Euclidean Algorithm) for finding a proper factorization.

In addition to our main theorem, we give a complete picture of the factorization theory for quadratic polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$. Some basic cases are treated in Section 2 where we discuss the necessary background and develop some preliminary results. In Section 5 we finish with some simple reducibility criteria that apply to power series whose quadratic part is of the form discussed in the other sections.

A standard reference for an introduction to divisibility over integral domains is [3]. For an extensive treatment of the arithmetic on the ring of formal power series over an integral domain the reader is referred to [4] and [5]. All the necessary material about the ring $\mathbb{Z}_p$ of p-adic numbers, can be found for instance in [2, 4, 6].

2. Factorization in the ring of power series

In order to place our main result in the appropriate context, and for the reader's convenience, we review some elementary facts about the factorization theory in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$. First, recall that $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ is a unique factorization domain. Moreover, if $f(x)$ is a formal power series in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ and $f_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ is its constant term, then:

i. $f(x)$ is invertible if and only if $f_0 = \pm 1$.
ii. If f_0 is prime then $f(x)$ is irreducible.
iii. If f_0 is not a unit or a prime power then $f(x)$ is reducible.
iv. If $f(x) = f_0$ is a constant then it is irreducible if and only if f_0 is prime.
v. If $f(x) = p^m + f_1 x$, with p prime and $m \geq 1$, then $f(x)$ is irreducible if and only if $\gcd(p, f_1) = 1$.

For an accessible and more detailed treatment of the divisibility theory in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ the reader is referred to [1].

At this point, we have definitive criteria for deciding irreducibility in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ for constant and linear polynomials. The next natural step is to examine quadratic polynomials, say $f(x) = f_0 + f_1 x + f_2 x^2$. Unless f_0 is a prime power, we know that $f(x)$ is either a unit or it is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$. On the other hand, if $f_0 = p^n$, $n > 1$, p prime, and if $f(x) = a(x)b(x)$ is a proper factorization, then we must have $a_0 = p^s, b_0 = p^t$ with $s, t \geq 1$, $s + t = n$. This implies $f_1 = p^s b_1 + p^t a_1$, so we conclude that $f(x)$ is irreducible unless $p \,|\, f_1$. Finally, if p divides all coefficients, then $f(x)$ is either reducible or associate to p. Thus the interesting case is when $f(x)$ is primitive.

Therefore, in the next sections we will focus on polynomials of the form

$$f(x) = p^n + p^m \beta x + \alpha x^2,$$

with $n, m \geq 1$, $\gcd(p, \alpha) = 1$, and $\gcd(p, \beta) = 1$ or $\beta = 0$.

As stated in the introduction, we will analyze the factorization of such polynomials by considering them as elements in $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$ and $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$, and the main tool for establishing this link will be the discriminant. Our strategy will be to produce explicit factorizations in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$, when appropriate. To this end, it will be helpful to assume that one of the factors in $f(x) = a(x)b(x)$ has a certain simplified form. The basis for this assumption is the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $a(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ such that $a_0 = p$ and $\gcd(p, a_1) = 1$. For every $t \geq 2$ there exists an associate $q(x)$ to $a(x)$ such that $q_0 = a_0$, $q_1 \equiv a_1 \pmod{p}$, and $q_2 = q_3 = \cdots = q_t = 0$.*

More precisely, we will show that there exists a polynomial

$$u(x) = 1 + u_1 x + u_2 x^2 + \cdots + u_t x^t,$$

invertible in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$, such that $u(x)a(x) = p + \lambda x + q_{t+1}x^{t+1} + q_{t+2}x^{t+2} + \cdots$, with $\lambda \equiv a_1 \pmod{p}$. In order to find $u(x)$, we set up the $t \times t$ system of equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda &= a_1 + pu_1, \\
0 &= a_2 + a_1u_1 + pu_2, \\
0 &= a_3 + a_2u_1 + a_1u_2 + pu_3, \\
&\ \vdots \\
0 &= a_t + \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} a_j u_{t-j} + pu_t,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

in the unknowns $u_1, \ldots, u_t$. Since the determinant of the matrix associated with this system of equations is p^t, it is clear that (2.2) admits a unique solution over the rationals for any integer λ. Our goal is to prove that for any $t \geq 2$, there exist a suitable $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that (2.2) admits a solution over the integers. This follows from the following two propositions.

Proposition 2.3. *If for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$, the solution $(u_1^0, \ldots, u_t^0)$ of the system (2.2) is such that $u_i^0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq t$, then for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ the system*

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda + kp^t &= a_1 + pu_1, \\
0 &= a_2 + a_1u_1 + pu_2, \\
0 &= a_3 + a_2u_1 + a_1u_2 + pu_3, \\
&\ \vdots \\
0 &= a_t + \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} a_j u_{t-j} + pu_t,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.3.a}$$

also has a (unique) solution over the integers. Moreover, the solution $(u_1^k, \ldots, u_t^k)$ of (2.3.a) and the solution $(u_1^0, \ldots, u_t^0)$ of (2.2) are related as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
u_i^k &\equiv u_i^0 \pmod{p} \ \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq t-1, \\
u_t^k &\equiv u_t^0 + (-1)^{t+1} k a_1^{t-1} \pmod{p}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.4. *If the $t \times t$ system of equations*

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda &= a_1 + pu_1, \\
0 &= a_2 + a_1u_1 + pu_2, \\
0 &= a_3 + a_2u_1 + a_1u_2 + pu_3, \\
&\ \vdots \\
0 &= a_t + \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} a_j u_{t-j} + pu_t,
\end{aligned}$$

has a solution $(u_1^0,\dots,u_t^0)$ *over the integers, then there exists* $k\in\mathbb{Z}$ *such that the* $(t+1)\times(t+1)$ *system*

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda+kp^t &= a_1+pu_1,\\ 0&=a_2+a_1u_1+pu_2,\\ 0&=a_3+a_2u_1+a_1u_2+pu_3,\\ &\ \ \vdots\\ 0&=a_{t+1}+\sum_{j=1}^{t}a_ju_{t+1-j}+pu_{t+1},\end{aligned}$$

also has its solution $(u_1^k,\dots,u_{t+1}^k)$ *over the integers.*

Proof of Lemma 2.1. Choose $\lambda\in\mathbb{Z}$ such that $\lambda\equiv a_1 \pmod p$. Then the equation $\lambda=a_1+pu_1$ can be solved for $u_1\in\mathbb{Z}$. Then proceed to the desired value of t by applying repeatedly Proposition 2.3 and Proposition 2.4. □

Proof of Proposition 2.3. Let A be a $(t\times t)$-matrix and let B^k be a t-dimensional column vector defined as

$$A=\begin{pmatrix} p & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0\\ a_1 & p & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0\\ a_2 & a_1 & p & \cdots & 0 & 0\\ \vdots & & & & & \\ a_{t-1} & a_{t-2} & a_{t-3} & \cdots & a_1 & p\end{pmatrix} \quad\text{and}\quad B^k=\begin{pmatrix}kp^t\\ 0\\ \vdots\\ 0\end{pmatrix}.$$

If $A_1,A_2,\dots,A_t$ are the columns of A then, by Cramer's rule, the unique solution (over the rationals) of the system (2.3.a) is given by

$$u_i^k=u_i^0+\frac{1}{p^t}\det\left(A_1,\dots,A_{i-1},B^k,A_{i+1},\dots,A_t\right)=u_i^0+(-1)^{i+1}k\det A_{1i},$$

where A_{1i} denotes the $(t-1)\times(t-1)$ matrix obtained from A by deleting its first row and i^{th} column. Thus $u_i^k\in\mathbb{Z}$ for every $1\le i\le t$.

The entries q_{rr}^i, $r=1,\dots,t-1$, in the principal diagonal of A_{1i}, are as given by

$$q_{rr}^i=\begin{cases}a_1 & \text{if } 1\le r\le i-1,\\ p & \text{if } i\le r\le t-1,\end{cases}$$

and the entries in the super-diagonal of A_{1i} are

$$q_{r,r+1}^i=\begin{cases}p & \text{if } 3\le i\le t,\ 1\le r\le i-2,\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Of course, all the entries above the super-diagonal in A_{1i} are 0. Thus, when expanding $\det A_{1i}$ as a sum over all permutations in the symmetric group of $t-1$ elements, the term corresponding to the identity is $p^{t-i}a_1^{i-1}$, $1\le i\le t$, and the term corresponding to any other permutation is a multiple of p. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}u_i^k&=u_i^0+(-1)^{i+1}k\det A_{1i}\equiv u_i^0 \pmod p \quad\text{for } 1\le i\le t-1,\\ u_t^k&=u_t^0+(-1)^{t+1}k\det A_{1t}\equiv u_t^0+(-1)^{t+1}ka_1^{t-1} \pmod p.\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Proposition 2.4. Since $\gcd(p, a_1) = 1$, we can choose k such that

$$a_{t+1} + a_1 u_t^0 + \sum_{j=2}^{t} a_j u_{t+1-j}^0 + (-1)^{t+1} k a_1^t \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

By Proposition 2.3, the system

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda + kp^t &= a_1 + pu_1 \\ 0 &= a_2 + a_1 u_1 + pu_2, \\ 0 &= a_3 + a_2 u_1 + a_1 u_2 + pu_3, \\ &\ \vdots \\ 0 &= a_t + \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} a_j u_{t-j} + pu_t, \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

has its solution $(u_1^k, \ldots, u_t^k)$ over the integers. Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} u_i^k &= u_i^0 \pmod{p}, \quad 1 \le i \le t-1, \\ u_t^k &= u_t^0 + (-1)^{t+1} k a_1^{t-1} \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} a_{t+1} + \sum_{j=1}^{t} a_j u_{t+1-j}^k &= \\ a_{t+1} + a_1 u_t^k + \sum_{j=2}^{t} a_j u_{t+1-j}^k &= \\ a_{t+1} + a_1 \big(u_t^0 + (-1)^{t+1} k a_1^{t-1}\big) + \sum_{j=2}^{t} a_j u_{t+1-j}^0 &= \\ a_{t+1} + a_1 u_t^0 + \sum_{j=2}^{t} a_j u_{t+1-j}^0 + (-1)^{t+1} k a_1^t &\equiv 0 \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we can solve the equation $0 = a_{t+1} + \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} a_j u_{t+1-j} + pu_{t+1}$ for u_{t+1}. □

3. The case when the constant term is an odd prime power

Let p be an odd prime, let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $\gcd(p, \alpha) = 1$ and $\gcd(p, \beta) = 1$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $f(x) = p^n + p^m \beta x + \alpha x^2$ with $n, m \ge 1$.*

- *(i) If $2m < n$, then $f(x)$ is reducible in both $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$ and $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$.*
- *(ii) If $2m > n$ and n is odd, then $f(x)$ is irreducible in both $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$ and $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$.*

Proof. (*i*) Observe first that the discriminant of $f(x)$ is

$$p^{2m}\beta^2 - 4\alpha p^n = p^{2m}(\beta^2 - 4\alpha p^{n-2m}),$$

a nonzero square in $\mathbb{Z}_p$, and so $f(x)$ is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$. To show that $f(x)$ is reducible as a power series, we will find sequences $\{a_k\}$ and $\{b_k\}$ such that

$$f(x) = (p^m + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + \cdots)(p^{n-m} + b_1 x + b_2 x^2 + \cdots).$$

For $k \geq 1$ let $t_k = b_k + p^{n-2m} a_k$. For the above factorization to hold, we need

$$p^m \beta = p^{n-m} a_1 + p^m b_1, \quad \text{so we have } t_1 = \beta.$$

Since $\beta^2 - 4\alpha p^{n-2m}$ is a square in $\mathbb{Z}_p$, the polynomial $g(x) = p^{n-2m}x^2 - \beta x + \alpha$ is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$. In particular, $g(x)$ has a root in $\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}$, hence there are integers a_1 and t_2 such that

$$p^{n-2m} a_1^2 - \beta a_1 + \alpha = p^m t_2.$$

Suppose that we have defined a_k, t_{k+1} for $k = 1, \ldots, N-1$, $N \geq 2$, and let

$$v_N = a_1 t_N + \sum_{k=2}^{N-1} a_k (t_{N+1-k} - p^{n-2m} a_{N+1-k}).$$

We want to define a_N and t_{N+1} in such a way that $\sum_{k=0}^{N+1} a_k b_{N+1-k} = 0$ for $N \geq 2$. In other words, we need

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{k=0}^{N+1} a_k b_{N+1-k} \\ &= a_0 b_{N+1} + a_{N+1} b_0 + a_N b_1 + a_1 b_N + \sum_{k=2}^{N-1} a_k b_{N+1-k} \\ &= p^m t_{N+1} + (\beta - p^{n-2m} a_1) a_N + a_1 (t_N - p^{n-2m} a_N) + \sum_{k=2}^{N-1} a_k b_{N+1-k} \\ &= p^m t_{N+1} + (\beta - 2p^{n-2m} a_1) a_N + v_N. \end{aligned}$$

At last, since $\gcd(p, \beta) = 1$, this equation can be solved for $t_{N+1}, a_N \in \mathbb{Z}$. This shows that $f(x)$ is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$.

(ii) In this case, the discriminant of $f(x)$,

$$p^{2m}\beta^2 - 4\alpha p^n = p^n (p^{2\mu - n}\beta^2 - 4\alpha),$$

is not a square in $\mathbb{Z}_p$. Thus $f(x)$ is irreducible as a polynomial over $\mathbb{Z}_p$. To show that $f(x)$ is irreducible as a power series, assume

$$f(x) = (p^s + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + \cdots)(p^t + b_1 x + b_2 x^2 + \cdots)$$

with $t > s \geq 1$, $s + t = n$. Note that $t \neq s$ because n is odd. Then we must have

$$\begin{aligned} p^m \beta &= p^t a_1 + p^s b_1, \\ \alpha &= p^t a_2 + a_1 b_1 + p^s b_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since p and α are coprime, it follows that $\gcd(p, a_1) = 1 = \gcd(p, b_1)$. Therefore, it must be $s = m$, and so $2m = 2s < s + t = n$. ☐

It remains to analyze the cases when n is even, say $n = 2\nu$, and $m \geq \nu \geq 1$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $m \geq \nu$. The polynomial $f(x) = p^{2\nu} + p^m \beta x + \alpha x^2$ is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ if and only if $\widehat{f}(x) = p^2 + p^{m-\nu+1}\beta x + \alpha x^2$ is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$.*

This follows from the following three lemmas.

Lemma 3.3. *If $f(x)$ is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$, then $\widehat{f}(x)$ is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$.*

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\ell \geq 1$. If the polynomial $p^2 + p^\ell \beta x + \alpha x^2$ is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$, then it is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$.*

Lemma 3.5. *If* $\widehat{f}(x)$ *is reducible in* $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$, *then* $f(x)$ *is reducible in* $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$.

Proof of Lemma 3.3. We first observe that if $f(x) = a(x)b(x)$ is a proper factorization in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$, then $a_0 = b_0 = p^\nu$. To see this, assume that $a_0 = p^s$, $b_0 = p^t$ with $s, t \geq 1$, $s + t = 2\nu$. Then we have that

$$\alpha = p^s b_2 + a_1 b_1 + p^t a_2.$$

Since $\gcd(p, \alpha) = 1$, we conclude that $\gcd(p, a_1) = \gcd(p, b_1) = 1$. We also have

$$p^m \beta = p^s b_1 + p^t a_1.$$

If $s < t$, then we would have $s < \nu \leq m$, implying from the above equation that $p \,|\, b_1$, a contradiction. Similarly, we can rule out the case $t < s$, hence $s = t = \nu$.

We now write $f(x) = a(x)b(x)$ with $a_0 = b_0 = p^\nu$. Since

$$p^{2\nu-2}\widehat{f}(x) = f(p^{\nu-1}x) = a(p^{\nu-1}x)b(p^{\nu-1}x),$$

it follows that

$$\widehat{f}(x) = \Big(\frac{a(p^{\nu-1}x)}{p^{\nu-1}}\Big)\Big(\frac{b(p^{\nu-1}x)}{p^{\nu-1}}\Big)$$

is a proper factorization of $\widehat{f}(x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$. □

Proof of Lemma 3.4. To prove that $g(x) = p^2 + p^\ell \beta x + \alpha x^2$ is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$, we must show that its discriminant $p^{2\ell}\beta^2 - 4\alpha p^2$ is a square in $\mathbb{Z}_p$.

Write $p^{2\ell-2}\beta^2 - 4\alpha = p^t u$ with $\gcd(p, u) = 1$. Suppose that $g(x)$ is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$. By Lemma 2.1 we can assume that $g(x)$ admits a factorization of the form

$$p^2 + p^\ell \beta x + \alpha x^2 = a(x)b(x) \quad \text{with } a_0 = b_0 = p, \ \ a_2 = a_3 = \cdots = a_{t+2} = 0.$$

With the notation $s_j = a_j + b_j$ for $j \geq 1$, we must have

$$\begin{aligned} p^\ell \beta &= p s_1, \\ \alpha &= p s_2 + a_1 s_1 - a_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then $s_1 = p^{\ell-1}\beta$ and a_1 is a root of $y^2 - s_1 y + \alpha \equiv 0 \pmod p$. Note that $p \nmid a_1$.

For $n = 3$ we have

$$0 = p s_3 + a_1 s_2. \tag{3.6}$$

Then $p \,|\, s_2$ and $a_1^2 - a_1 s_1 + \alpha \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$. For $n = 4$ we have

$$0 = p s_4 + a_1 s_3.$$

Then $p \,|\, s_3$, which by (3.6) implies that $p^2 \,|\, s_2$, and so $a_1^2 - a_1 s_1 + \alpha \equiv 0 \pmod{p^3}$. Working inductively, the equation

$$0 = p s_{t+3} + a_1 s_{t+2}$$

implies that $p^{t+1} \,|\, s_2$, and so $a_1^2 - a_1 s_1 + \alpha = p^{t+2} v$ for some v.

Now, since

$$(2a_1 - s_1)^2 = (p^{2\ell-2}\beta^2 - 4\alpha) + 4p^{t+2}v = p^t u + 4p^{t+2}v = p^t(u + 4p^2 v),$$

and since $\gcd(p, u) = 1$, we have that t is even and that u is a square mod p. Hence $p^{2(\ell-1)}\beta^2 - 4\alpha$ is a square in $\mathbb{Z}_p$, and so is $p^2(p^{2(\ell-1)}\beta^2 - 4\alpha)$. Therefore, $g(x)$ is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$. □

Proof of Lemma 3.5. We will consider the cases $m = \nu$ and $m > \nu$ separately. In both cases we will prove the reducibility of $f(x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ by providing an explicit factorization algorithm. More precisely, we will give inductive algorithms (depending on m and ν) to find sequences $\{a_k\}$ and $\{b_k\}$ in $\mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$f(x) = \Big(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k\Big)\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k x^k\Big). \tag{3.7}$$

For $k \geq 1$ we let $s_k = a_k + b_k$.

Case 1: Let $m > \nu$. Since $\widehat{f}(x) = p^2 + p^{m-\nu+1}\beta x + \alpha x^2$ is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$, the polynomial $\widehat{g}(x) = x^2 - p^{m-\nu}\beta x + \alpha$ is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$, too. Observe that the discriminant of $\widehat{f}(x)$ is p^2 times the discriminant of $\widehat{g}(x)$.

Let

$$a_0 = p^\nu = b_0 \quad \text{and} \quad s_1 = p^{m-\nu}\beta.$$

Since $\widehat{g}(x)$ is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$, it has a root in $\mathbb{Z}/p^\nu\mathbb{Z}$. Let $a_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that

$$a_1^2 - p^{m-\nu}\beta a_1 + \alpha = p^\nu s_2.$$

Now, $m > \nu$ and $\gcd(p, \alpha) = 1$ imply $\gcd(p, a_1) = 1$ and $\gcd(p^\nu, p^{m-\nu}\beta - 2a_1) = 1$. We let a_2 and s_3 be integer numbers such that

$$0 = p^\nu s_3 + (p^{m-\nu}\beta - 2a_1)a_2 + a_1 s_2.$$

Suppose we have defined a_k and s_{k+1} for $k = 1, \ldots, N-1$, $N \geq 3$, and let

$$v_N = a_1 s_N + \sum_{k=2}^{N-1} a_k(s_{N+1-k} - a_{N+1-k}).$$

We know that $\gcd(p^\nu, p^{m-\nu}\beta - 2a_1) = 1$, so the equation

$$0 = p^\nu s_{N+1} + (p^{m-\nu}\beta - 2a_1)a_N + v_N$$

can be solved for $a_N, s_{N+1} \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $k = 1, \ldots, N$ we now have a_k and b_k, and it can be easily checked that the sequences $\{a_k\}$ and $\{b_k\}$ give (3.7).

Case 2: If $m = \nu$, then

$$\widehat{f}(x) = p^2 + p\beta x + \alpha x^2 \quad \text{and} \quad f(x) = p^{2\nu} + p^\nu \beta x + \alpha x^2.$$

Since $\widehat{f}(x)$ is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$, so is $\widehat{g}(x) = x^2 - \beta x + \alpha$. Thus there are numbers $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\beta^2 - 4\alpha = p^{2\ell} q \ \text{ with } \gcd(p, q) = 1.$$

Moreover, $\widehat{g}(x)$ has a root in $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, for $n = 3\max(\ell, \nu)$, there are integers a and r such that

$$a^2 - \beta a + \alpha = p^\mu r \ \text{ with } \gcd(p, r) = 1, \tag{3.8}$$

for some $\mu \geq 3\max(\ell, \nu)$. Since $(\beta - 2a)^2 - (\beta^2 - 4\alpha) = 4\widehat{g}(a)$, we get

$$(\beta - 2a)^2 = 4p^\mu r + p^{2\ell} q = p^{2\ell}(4p^{\mu-2\ell} r + q),$$

hence we can write

$$\beta - 2a = p^\ell t \ \text{ with } \gcd(p, t) = 1. \tag{3.9}$$

Again, our goal is to construct sequences $\{a_k\}$ and $\{b_k\}$ such that (3.7) holds. This will be done with slightly different algorithms for $\nu > \ell$ and $\nu \le \ell$. In both cases we let

$$a_0 = p^\nu = b_0, \quad s_1 = \beta,$$
$$a_1 = a, \quad s_2 = p^{\mu-\nu} r,$$

where a and r are the integers from (3.8). With these choices, the first three terms in the expansion of (3.7) coincide with $f(x)$.

Assume $\nu > \ell$. Let

$$\tilde{a}_1 = 0, \quad u_1 = s_2 = p^{\mu-\nu} r, \quad \text{and} \quad u_2 = -p^{\mu-2\nu} r a_1.$$

Let t be as in (3.9). For $k \ge 2$ we will define $\tilde{a}_k$ and u_{k+1} such that the sequences defined by

$$a_k = p^{\nu-\ell}\tilde{a}_k \quad \text{and} \quad b_k = u_{k-1} - t\tilde{a}_{k-1} - a_k \tag{3.10}$$

give the factorization (3.7). Note that $s_{k+1} = a_{k+1} + b_{k+1} = u_k - t\tilde{a}_k$.

Let $\tilde{a}_2 = p^{\mu-2\nu}$ and $u_3 = -p^{\mu-3\nu}\big[p^{\nu-\ell}(s_2 - a_2) - t a_1\big]$. Thus

$$p^\nu u_3 + \big[p^{\nu-\ell}(s_2 - a_2) - t a_1\big]\tilde{a}_2 = 0.$$

Suppose we have defined $\tilde{a}_k$ and u_{k+1} for $k = 1, \dots, N-1$, $N \ge 3$, and let

$$v_N = a_1 u_N + a_2 s_N + \sum_{k=3}^{N-1} a_k (s_{N+2-k} - a_{N+2-k}).$$

Since $\gcd(p, \beta) = 1$, the relation (3.9) implies

$$\gcd(p, a_1) = 1 \;\text{ and }\; \gcd(p^{\nu-\ell}, p^{\nu-\ell}(s_2 - 2a_2) - t a_1) = 1.$$

Therefore, there are $\tilde{a}_N, u_{N+1} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$p^\nu u_{N+1} + \big[p^{\nu-\ell}(s_2 - 2a_2) - t a_1\big]\tilde{a}_N + \tilde{v}_N = 0.$$

The sequences $\{a_k\}$ and $\{b_k\}$ defined by (3.10) give (3.7) when $\nu > \ell$.

Assume now $\nu \le \ell$. In this case, for $k \ge 2$ we will find $\tilde{a}_k$ and $\tilde{s}_{k+1}$ such that the sequences defined by

$$a_k = p^\ell \tilde{a}_k \quad \text{and} \quad b_k = p^{3\ell-\nu}\tilde{s}_k - a_k$$

give a factorization of $f(x)$. Let r and t be as in (3.8) and (3.9), respectively. Since $\gcd(p^\nu, t) = 1$, there are $y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$p^\nu y + t z + r = 0.$$

Let $\tilde{a}_2 = p^{\mu-2\ell-\nu} z a_1$, $\tilde{s}_2 = p^{\mu-3\ell} r$, and $\tilde{s}_3 = p^{\mu-3\ell} y a_1$. Note that

$$p^\ell \tilde{s}_3 + t\tilde{a}_2 + a_1 p^{\ell-\nu}\tilde{s}_2 = 0.$$

Suppose we have defined $\tilde{a}_k$ and $\tilde{s}_{k+1}$ for $k = 1, \dots, N-1$, $N \ge 3$, and let

$$\tilde{v}_N = a_1 p^{\ell-\nu}\tilde{s}_N + \sum_{k=2}^{N-1} \tilde{a}_k (p^{2\ell-\nu}\tilde{s}_{N+1-k} - \tilde{a}_{N+1-k}).$$

Finally, since $\gcd(p^\ell, t) = 1$, the equation

$$0 = p^\ell \tilde{s}_{N+1} + t\tilde{a}_N + \tilde{v}_N$$

can be solved for $\tilde{a}_N, \tilde{s}_{N+1} \in \mathbb{Z}$. This implies

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= p^{3\ell}\tilde{s}_{N+1} + p^{2\ell}t\tilde{a}_N + p^{2\ell}\tilde{v}_N \\
&= p^{\nu}s_{N+1} + p^{\ell}ta_N + a_1 s_N + \sum_{k=2}^{N-1} a_k(s_{N+1-k} - a_{N+1-k}) \\
&= p^{\nu}s_{N+1} + (\beta - 2a_1)a_N + a_1 s_N + \sum_{k=2}^{N-1} a_k b_{N+1-k} = \sum_{k=0}^{N+1} a_k b_{N+1-k},
\end{aligned}$$

as desired. This completes the proof. □

The main result of this section is the following.

Theorem 3.11. *Let p be an odd prime and let $n, m \geq 1$. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $\gcd(p,\alpha) = 1$ and $\gcd(p,\beta) = 1$. The polynomial $f(x) = p^n + p^m\beta x + \alpha x^2$ is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ if and only if it is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$.*

Proof. Using the fact that $f(x)$ is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$ iff $\widehat{f}(x)$ is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$, the statement of the theorem follows from Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 3.2. □

Remark 3.12. *The previous theorem is not valid when $m = 0$. In fact, if $p \nmid \beta$, any power series of the form $p^n + \beta x + \cdots$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$. However, any polynomial $p^n + \beta x + \alpha x^2$ with $\gcd(p,\beta) = 1$ is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$.*

We finish this section with the remaining case: $\beta = 0$.

Proposition 3.13. *Let p be an odd prime and let $n \geq 1$. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $\gcd(p,\alpha) = 1$. The polynomial $f(x) = p^n + \alpha x^2$ is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ if and only if it is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$.*

Proof. Recall that $f(x) = p^n + \alpha x^2$ is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$ if and only if its discriminant $-4\alpha p^n$ is a nonzero square in $\mathbb{Z}_p$. This in turn is the case if and only if n is even and $-\alpha$ is a square in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. We will show that these conditions on n and α are equivalent to $f(x)$ being reducible in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$.

For $f(x)$ to admit a factorization of the form

$$p^n + \alpha x^2 = (a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + \cdots)(b_0 + b_1 x + b_2 x^2 + \cdots)$$

it is necessary to solve the equations

$$\begin{gathered}
a_0 = p^t \text{ and } b_0 = p^s \text{ with } t + s = n, \\
0 = p^t b_1 + p^s a_1, \\
\alpha = p^t b_2 + a_1 b_1 + p^s a_2.
\end{gathered}$$

Since $\gcd(p,\alpha) = 1$, these three equations can be solved in $\mathbb{Z}$ only when $s = t$, that is, when n is even. Now, if $n = 2\nu$, we must have $a_0 = b_0 = p^{\nu}$, $s_1 = a_1 + b_1 = 0$, and $\alpha = p^{\nu}(a_2 + b_2) - a_1^2$. Thus, if $f(x)$ is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$, then $-\alpha$ is a square in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. On the other hand, if $-4\alpha p^{2\nu}$ is a nonzero square in $\mathbb{Z}_p$, so is $-\alpha$, i.e., $y^2 + \alpha$ has a root in $\mathbb{Z}_p$. Let a_1 and s_2 be integers such that

$$a_1^2 + \alpha = p^{\nu}s_2.$$

Note that $\gcd(p^{\nu}, 2a_1) = 1$. Therefore, there are integers a_2 and s_3 such that

$$0 = p^{\nu}s_3 - 2a_1 a_2 + a_1 s_2.$$

Finally, a factorization of $f(x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ can be obtained with the sequences $\{a_k\}$ and $\{s_{k+1}\}$ defined inductively for $N \geq 3$ by the equation

$$0 = p^{\nu} s_{N+1} - 2a_1 a_N + v_N,$$

where $v_N = a_1 s_N + \sum_{k=2}^{N-1} a_k(s_{N+1-k} - a_{N+1-k})$. □

4. The case when the constant term is a power of 2

In this section we consider polynomials of the form

$$f(x) = 2^n + 2^m \beta x + \alpha x^2 \quad \text{with } n, m \geq 1,$$

where α, β are assumed to be odd integers. Observe that $\beta^2 \equiv 1 \pmod 8$.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $f(x) = 2^n + 2^m \beta x + \alpha x^2$ with $n, m \geq 1$.*

- *(i) If $2m < n$, then $f(x)$ is reducible in both $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]$ and $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$.*
- *(ii) If $2m > n$ and n is odd, then $f(x)$ is irreducible in both $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]$ and $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$.*

Proof. (i) If $2m < n$, then $4\alpha 2^{n-2m} \equiv 0 \pmod 8$, so $\beta^2 - 4\alpha 2^{n-2m} \equiv 1 \pmod 8$. Thus the discriminant $4^m(\beta^2 - 4\alpha 2^{n-2m})$ of $f(x)$ is a square in $\mathbb{Z}_2$ and $f(x)$ is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]$.

Similarly, the polynomial $g(x) = 2^{n-2m}x^2 - \beta x + \alpha$ is also reducible in $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]$. Therefore, the factorization algorithm given in the proof of Proposition 3.1(i) works here as well and we can conclude that $f(x)$ is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$.

(ii) In this case, the discriminant of $f(x)$ can be written as

$$\Delta = 2^n(2^{2m-n}\beta^2 - 4\alpha).$$

Recall that n is odd. If $n = 2m - 1$, then $\Delta = 2^{n+1}(\beta^2 - 2\alpha)$. Since α is odd and $\beta^2 \equiv 1 \pmod 8$, we have $\beta^2 - 2\alpha \not\equiv 1 \pmod 8$ which implies that Δ is not a square in $\mathbb{Z}_2$. If $n \leq 2m - 3$, then $\Delta = 2^{n+2}(2^{2m-n-2}\beta^2 - \alpha)$ and we get, once again, that Δ is not a square in $\mathbb{Z}_2$ since $2^{2m-n-2}\beta^2 - \alpha$ and $n + 2$ are both odd numbers. In conclusion, $f(x)$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]$.

That $f(x)$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ follows verbatim from the arguments in the proof of Proposition 3.1(ii). □

Proposition 4.2. *If $n = 2m$, then $f(x)$ is irreducible in both $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]$ and $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$.*

Proof. Since $\beta^2 \equiv 1 \pmod 8$ and α is odd, we have $\beta^2 - 4\alpha \not\equiv 1 \pmod 8$. Thus the integer $4^m(\beta^2 - 4\alpha)$, the discriminant of $f(x)$, is not a square in $\mathbb{Z}_2$ which implies that $f(x)$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]$.

Suppose now that $f(x)$ is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$. Then there are power series $a(x)$, $b(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ such that

$$f(x) = (2^m + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + \cdots)(2^m + b_1 x + b_2 x^2 + \cdots)$$

with

$$\beta = a_1 + b_1, \quad \alpha = 2^m(a_2 + b_2) + a_1(\beta - a_1).$$

Since β is odd, the number $a_1(\beta - a_1)$ is always even, a contradiction. □

It remains to analyze the case when $2m > n$ and $n = 2\nu$ for some $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$. To this end, we will consider the cases $m > \nu + 1$ and $m = \nu + 1$, separately.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $m > \nu + 1$. The polynomial $f(x) = 4^{\nu} + 2^m \beta x + \alpha x^2$ is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ if and only if it is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]$.*

Proof. First of all, observe that the discriminant of $f(x)$ can be written as

$$\Delta = 4^{\nu+1}(4^{m-(\nu+1)}\beta^2 - \alpha).$$

For Δ to be a square in $\mathbb{Z}_2$, we need $4^{m-(\nu+1)}\beta^2 - \alpha \equiv 1 \pmod 8$. If $m-(\nu+1) \geq 2$, this holds iff $-\alpha \equiv 1 \pmod 8$, and if $m-(\nu+1) = 1$, the discriminant Δ is a square in $\mathbb{Z}_2$ iff $4 - \alpha \equiv 1 \pmod 8$. In other words,

- if $m - (\nu + 1) \geq 2$, $f(x)$ is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}_2[x] \iff \alpha \equiv 7 \pmod 8$,
- if $m - (\nu + 1) = 1$, $f(x)$ is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}_2[x] \iff \alpha \equiv 3 \pmod 8$.

We will prove the corresponding statements in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$.

Assume first that $f(x)$ is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ and can be factored as

$$f(x) = \Big(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k\Big)\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k x^k\Big). \tag{4.3.a}$$

Then we must have $a_0 = 2^\nu = b_0$, and using the notation $s_k = a_k + b_k$,

$$\begin{aligned} 2^m\beta &= 2^\nu s_1, \text{ which implies } s_1 = 2^{m-\nu}\beta, \\ \alpha &= 2^\nu s_2 + (2^{m-\nu}\beta - a_1)a_1, \\ 0 &= 2^\nu s_3 + (2^{m-\nu}\beta - 2a_1)a_2 + a_1 s_2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.3.b}$$

The second equation implies that a_1 is odd, and the third one gives that s_2 is even. Thus we get

$$a_1^2 - 2^{m-\nu}\beta a_1 + \alpha = 2^\nu s_2 = 2^{\nu+1} t_2 \text{ for some } t_2 \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{4.3.c}$$

Since $\nu \geq 1$ and $m - \nu \geq 2$, this equation implies $a_1^2 + \alpha \equiv 0 \pmod 4$, i.e.,

$$\alpha \equiv 3 \pmod 8 \quad \text{or} \quad \alpha \equiv 7 \pmod 8.$$

If $\nu > 1$ and $\alpha \equiv 3 \pmod 8$, then

$$0 \equiv 2^{\nu+1} t_2 = a_1^2 - 2^{m-\nu}\beta a_1 + \alpha \equiv 4 - 2^{m-\nu}\beta a_1 \pmod 8.$$

This implies $2^{m-\nu}\beta a_1 \equiv 4 \pmod 8$, and so $m - \nu = 2$, that is, $m - (\nu + 1) = 1$. If $\nu > 1$ and $\alpha \equiv 7 \pmod 8$, then $-2^{m-\nu}\beta a_1 \equiv 0 \pmod 8$, which is possible only when $m - (\nu + 1) \geq 2$.

If $\nu = 1$, then $f(x) = 4 + 2^m\beta x + \alpha x^2$ and (4.3.c) becomes

$$a_1^2 - 2^{m-1}\beta a_1 + \alpha = 2s_2.$$

By Lemma 2.1 we can choose $a_2 = a_3 = 0$, so the third equation in (4.3.b), and the next one, take the form

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= 2s_3 + a_1 s_2, \\ 0 &= 2s_4 + a_1 s_3. \end{aligned}$$

Thus s_3 is even, hence $s_2 \equiv 0 \pmod 4$ and $a_1^2 - 2^{m-1}\beta a_1 + \alpha \equiv 0 \pmod 8$. As above, $\alpha \equiv 3 \pmod 8$ implies $m - 1 = 2$, and $\alpha \equiv 7 \pmod 8$ implies $m - 1 \geq 3$.

We now prove the reducibility of $f(x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ under the conditions on m, ν, and α specified above for the reducibility in $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]$. To this end, consider the polynomial $g(x) = x^2 - 2^{m-\nu}\beta x + \alpha$ whose discriminant is $2^2(4^{m-(\nu+1)}\beta^2 - \alpha)$. If $m-(\nu+1) = 1$ and $\alpha \equiv 3 \pmod 8$, then $4^{m-(\nu+1)}\beta^2 - \alpha = 4\beta^2 - \alpha \equiv 1 \pmod 8$. If $m-(\nu+1) \geq 2$ and $\alpha \equiv 7 \pmod 8$, then $4^{m-(\nu+1)}\beta^2 - \alpha \equiv 1 \pmod 8$. Thus, in both cases, the discriminant of $g(x)$ is a square in $\mathbb{Z}_2$. Hence $g(x)$ is reducible and thus has a root in $\mathbb{Z}_2$. Let $\tilde{a}_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that

$$\tilde{a}_1^2 - 2^{m-\nu}\beta\tilde{a}_1 + \alpha = 2^{2\nu+1}t_2.$$

Let $a_0 = 2^\nu = b_0$, $a_1 = \tilde{a}_1$, $s_1 = 2^{m-\nu}\beta$, and $s_2 = 2^{\nu+1}t_2$. With these choices, $f(x)$ coincides with the first three terms of the product in (4.3.a). Since $m > \nu+1$, we have that $2^{m-\nu-1}\beta - a_1$ is odd, hence there are integers $\tilde{a}_2$ and t_3 such that

$$0 = 2^\nu t_3 + (2^{m-\nu-1}\beta - a_1)\tilde{a}_2 + a_1 t_2.$$

If we let $a_2 = 2^\nu\tilde{a}_2$ and $s_3 = 2^{\nu+1}t_3$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= 2^{\nu+1}\big(2^\nu t_3 + (2^{m-\nu-1}\beta - a_1)\tilde{a}_2 + a_1 t_2\big) \\ &= 2^\nu(2^{\nu+1}t_3) + (2^{m-\nu}\beta - 2a_1)(2^\nu\tilde{a}_2) + a_1(2^{\nu+1}t_2) \\ &= 2^\nu s_3 + (2^{m-\nu}\beta - 2a_1)a_2 + a_1 s_2. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose we have defined $\tilde{a}_k$ and t_{k+1} for $k = 1, \dots, N-1$, $N \ge 3$, and let

$$w_N = a_1 t_N + 2^{\nu-1}\sum_{k=2}^{N-1} \tilde{a}_k(2t_{N+1-k} - \tilde{a}_{N+1-k}).$$

As before, there are $\tilde{a}_N, t_{N+1} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$0 = 2^\nu t_{N+1} + (2^{m-\nu-1}\beta - a_1)\tilde{a}_N + w_N.$$

If we let $a_k = 2^\nu\tilde{a}_k$ and $s_k = 2^{\nu+1}t_k$ for every $k \ge 3$, then the sequences $\{a_k\}$ and $\{b_k\}$ with $b_k = s_k - a_k$ give a factorization of $f(x)$. □

Proposition 4.4. *The polynomial* $f(x) = 4^\nu + 2^{\nu+1}\beta x + \alpha x^2$ *is reducible in* $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ *if and only if it is reducible in* $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]$.

Proof. Assume first that $f(x)$ is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]$. Then $4^{\nu+1}(\beta^2 - \alpha)$ must be a square in $\mathbb{Z}_2$, which implies

$$\beta^2 - \alpha = 2^{2\ell}q \text{ with } \ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ and } q \equiv 1 \pmod 8.$$

Thus the polynomial $g(x) = x^2 - 2\beta x + \alpha$ is also reducible in $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]$, and so it has a root in $\mathbb{Z}_2$. Let $\tilde{a}_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that

$$\tilde{a}_1^2 - 2\beta\tilde{a}_1 + \alpha = 2^{2\ell+\nu+2}t_2.$$

Let u be the odd integer such that $\beta - \tilde{a}_1 = 2^\ell u$. As in the proof of Proposition 4.3, a factorization of $f(x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ can be obtained as follows. We let $a_0 = 2^\nu = b_0$, $a_1 = \tilde{a}_1$, $s_1 = 2\beta$, $s_2 = 2^{2\ell+2}t_2$, and for $N \ge 2$, we define $\tilde{a}_N$ and t_{N+1} inductively by means of the equation

$$0 = 2^\nu t_{N+1} + u\tilde{a}_N + w_N,$$

where

$$w_N = a_1 t_N + \sum_{k=2}^{N-1} \tilde{a}_k(2^{\ell+1}t_{N+1-k} - \tilde{a}_{N+1-k}).$$

For $k \ge 2$, we then let $a_k = 2^{\ell+1}\tilde{a}_k$ and $b_k = s_k - a_k = 2^{2\ell+2}t_k - a_k$.

Assume now that $f(x)$ is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ and consider $\widehat{f}(x) = 4 + 4\beta x + \alpha x^2$. By Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4 with $p = 2$, $m = \nu+1$, and $\ell = 2$, we get that $\widehat{f}(x)$ is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]$. Finally, since the discriminant of $f(x)$ is $4^{\nu-1}$ times the discriminant of $\widehat{f}(x)$, we conclude that $f(x)$ is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]$, too. □

Theorem 4.5. *Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ be odd. The polynomial $f(x) = 2^n + 2^m \beta x + \alpha x^2$ with $n, m \geq 1$ is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ if and only if it is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]$.*

Remark 4.6. *The previous theorem is not valid when $m = 0$. In fact, $2^n + \beta x + \alpha x^2$ is always reducible in $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]$, but irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$.*

Proposition 4.7. *Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ be odd. The polynomial $f(x) = 2^n + \alpha x^2$ is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ if and only if it is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]$.*

Proof. As in Proposition 3.13, it can be easily checked that if n is odd, then $f(x)$ is irreducible in both $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]$ and $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$. If $n = 2\nu$, then the statement follows from the arguments in the proof of Proposition 4.3 for the case when $m > \nu + 2$. □

5. Further reducibility criteria

In this last section we briefly discuss the factorization in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ of power series whose quadratic part is a polynomial like the ones studied in the previous sections. More precisely, we consider power series of the form

$$f(x) = p^n + p^m \beta x + \alpha x^2 + \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} c_k x^k, \tag{5.1}$$

where α and β are integers such that $\gcd(p, \alpha) = 1 = \gcd(p, \beta)$.

For simplicity, we only discuss the case when p is an odd prime. We will focus on the situations for which the arguments in Section 3 extend with little or no additional effort. For instance, if $m \neq \frac{n}{2}$, the reducibility of $f(x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ follows the same pattern as the reducibility of its quadratic part. In fact, we can use the exact same arguments from Section 3 to prove the following two propositions.

Proposition 5.2. *If $2m < n$, then* (5.1) *is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$. If $2m > n$ and n is odd, then* (5.1) *is irreducible.*

Proposition 5.3. *If $2m > n$ and n is even, then* (5.1) *is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ if and only if $-\alpha$ is a quadratic residue* mod p.

If $2m = n$, the situation is in general more involved and the reducibility of $f(x)$ depends on the roots of $x^2 - \beta x + \alpha$. The following proposition is easy to prove.

Proposition 5.4. *If $2m = n$ and the polynomial $x^2 - \beta x + \alpha$ has a simple root in $\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}$, then* (5.1) *is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$.*

If $x^2 - \beta x + \alpha$ has a double root in $\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}$, it is not enough to look at the quadratic part of $f(x)$ and its reducibility depends on the coefficients c_k. To illustrate this fact, consider for example the power series

$$f(x) = p^2 + p\beta x + \alpha x^2 + c_3 x^3 + c_4 x^4 + \cdots,$$

with $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\beta^2 - 4\alpha = p^2 q$, where q is a quadratic residue mod p with $\gcd(p, q) = 1$. In order to get a proper factorization $f(x) = a(x)b(x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$, we must have $a_0 = p = b_0$, $\beta = s_1$, as well as

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= p s_2 + a_1(\beta - a_1), \\ c_3 &= p s_3 + (\beta - 2a_1)a_2 + a_1 s_2, \end{aligned}$$

where $s_k = a_k + b_k$. Then $(\beta - 2a_1)^2 - (\beta^2 - 4\alpha) = 4 p s_2$, which implies $p \mid s_2$. Therefore, $f(x)$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$ unless $p \mid c_3$.

On the other hand, if $p^2 \mid c_k$ for every $k \geq 3$, then with the same assumptions on α and β as above, we can find $a_k, b_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a(x) = \sum a_k x^k$ and $b(x) = \sum b_k x^k$ give a proper factorization $f(x) = a(x)b(x)$. Note that $\beta^2 - 4\alpha$ is a square in $\mathbb{Z}_p$, so the polynomial $g(x) = x^2 - \beta x + \alpha$ is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$. In particular, $g(x)$ has a root in $\mathbb{Z}/p^3\mathbb{Z}$, so there are $a, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$a^2 - \beta a + \alpha = p^3 r.$$

Moreover, since $(\beta - 2a)^2 - (\beta^2 - 4\alpha) = 4p^3 r$ and $p^2 \mid (\beta^2 - 4\alpha)$, we have $p \mid (\beta - 2a)$. In fact, there is an integer t with $\gcd(p, t) = 1$ such that

$$\beta - 2a = pt.$$

Choose $a_1 = a,\ \tilde{s}_2 = r$, and write $c_{k+1} = p^2 \tilde{c}_{k+1}$. Since $\gcd(p, t) = 1$, for $k \geq 2$ we can choose $\tilde{a}_k$ and $\tilde{s}_{k+1}$ inductively as integer solutions of the equation

$$\tilde{c}_{k+1} = p\tilde{s}_{k+1} + t\tilde{a}_k + a_1 \tilde{s}_k + \sum_{j=2}^{k-1} \tilde{a}_j (p\tilde{s}_{k+1-j} - \tilde{a}_{k+1-j}).$$

If we let $a_k = p\tilde{a}_k$ and $s_k = p^2 \tilde{s}_k$, then multiplication by p^2 gives

$$\begin{aligned} c_{k+1} &= ps_{k+1} + pta_k + a_1 s_k + \sum_{j=2}^{k-1} a_j (s_{k+1-j} - a_{k+1-j}) \\ &= ps_{k+1} + (\beta - 2a_1) a_k + a_1 s_k + \sum_{j=2}^{k-1} a_j b_{k+1-j} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} a_j b_{k+1-j}. \end{aligned}$$

In other words, $a(x)$ and $b(x)$ provide a factorization of $f(x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$, as claimed.

References

[1] Birmajer, Daniel, and Gil, Juan B., *Arithmetic in the ring of formal power series with integer coefficients*, to appear in American Mathematical Monthly.

[2] Borevich, Z. I., and Shafarevich, I. R., *Number Theory* (trans. from Russian by N. Greenleaf), Academic Press, New York, 1966.

[3] Dummit, David, and Foote, Richard, *Abstract Algebra*, Prentice-Hall, 1991.

[4] Eisenbud, David, *Commutative algebra with a view toward algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, 1995.

[5] Kaplansky, Irving, *Commutative rings*, Allyn and Bacon, Inc., Boston, 1970.

[6] Serre, Jean-Pierre, *A course in arithmetic*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, 1973.

Department of Mathematics, Nazareth College, 4245 East Avenue, Rochester, NY 14618
E-mail address: `abirmaj6@naz.edu`

Penn State Altoona, 3000 Ivyside Park, Altoona, PA 16601.
E-mail address: `jgil@psu.edu`

Penn State Altoona, 3000 Ivyside Park, Altoona, PA 16601.
E-mail address: `mdw8@psu.edu`